



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,341	09/05/2003	Stephen F. Gross	M 6636A CC/CSAP	7801
23657	7590	04/06/2006	EXAMINER	
COGNIS CORPORATION PATENT DEPARTMENT 300 BROOKSIDE AVENUE AMBLER, PA 19002			WEBB, GREGORY E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1751	

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/656,341	GROSS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gregory E. Webb	1751	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.

2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.

3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.

6) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are rejected.

7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.

8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____.

4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.

5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) ☐ Other: ____.

Am
11/1/06

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed 1/25/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Concerning the 112 2nd paragraph rejection, the applicant alternates between two terms in their argument. First it is argued that glycol ethers are not surfactants. Then the applicant argues they are not detergents (see page 3, lines 5-7). It should be noted that claimed 6 excludes nonionic surfactants and does not mention the term "detergent."

The examiner is relying on the traditional and broadest definition of the term "surfactant." That is, a surfactant is a chemical that reduces the surface tension of water. Contrary to the applicant's arguments, the reason glycol ethers can reduce the surface tension is because they contain hydrophilic and hydrophobic functionality. Many of the glycol ethers are miscible with water and numerous organic solvents and are good solvents for both polar and non-polar substances.

The applicant also asserts that surfactants have a surface tension below 45 dynes/cm. The surface tension of ethylene glycol monopropyl ether is 26.3 dynes/cm at 25°C. This also seems to meet the applicant's definition.

The applicant further argues that the compound must have at least 10 carbon atoms and then refers to a 10 carbon anionic surfactant. The examiner disagrees with this definition. It appears that the definition is for defining a specific group of surfactants and more specifically a group of anionic surfactants. This argument is clearly out of context.

Thus the 112 2nd paragraph is maintained.

Art Unit: 1751

Concerning the prior art references, the examiner agrees those references cited in the previous action did not read on the specific features claimed. As such the following new reference is now cited.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by VanEenam, Donald N. (US5080831).

Concerning the cyclic ketone, VanEenam, Donald N. teaches the following:

19. A stable, aqueous cleaner/degreaser composition as set forth in claim 1 wherein said organic solvent is cyclohexanone.(see claim 19)

Concerning the claimed ester, VanEenam, Donald N. teaches the following:

7. A stable, aqueous cleaner/degreaser composition as set forth in claim 1 wherein said organic solvent is selected from the group consisting of 2-phenoxyethanol, 1-phenoxy-2-propanol, .beta.-phenylethanol, acetophenone, benzyl alcohol, butoxyethyl acetate, isophorone and the dimethyl esters of mixed succinic, glutaric and adipic acids.(see claim 7)

Concerning the claimed glycol ether and the water-soluble surfactant, VanEenam, Donald N. teaches the following:

The couplers which may be utilized in the practice of the invention include sodium benzene sulfonate, sodium toluene sulfonate, sodium xylene

Art Unit: 1751

sulfonate, potassium ethylbenzene sulfonate, sodium cumene sulfonate, sodium octane-1-sulfonate, potassium dimethylnaphthalene sulfonate, ammonium xylene sulfonate, sodium n-hexyl diphenyoxide disulfonate, sodium 2-ethylhexyl sulfate, ammonium n-butoxyethyl sulfate, sodium 2-ethylhexanoate, sodium pelargonate, sodium n-butoxymethyl carboxylate, potassium mono/di phenoxyethyl phosphate, sodium mono/di n-butoxyethyl phosphate, triethanolamine trimethylolpropane phosphate, sodium capryloamphopropionate, disodium capryloiminodipropionate, and sodium capro imidazoline amphoglycinate. Certain water-soluble solvents known to the art as couplers such as propylene glycol ethers (e.g. tripropyleneglycol monomethyl ether) can be used in the practice of the invention, but cannot be substituted for the sparingly water soluble organic solvent component. Additional couplers or hydrotropes known to the art may also be utilized.(see cols. 6-7)

Concerning the claimed oil-soluble surfactant, preferred oilsoluble surfactant and the claimed salt of the amine, VanEenam, Donald N. teaches the following:

The preferred surfactants for general use in the practice of the invention include dodecylbenzenesulfonic acid and the sodium, potassium, triethanolamine, morpholinium, ammonium and isopropylamine salts thereof, and morpholinium tallate.(see col. 6, lines 55-60).

Art Unit: 1751


Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory E. Webb whose telephone number is 571-272-1325.

The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-17:30 (m-f).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglass McGinty can be reached on (571)272-1029. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Gregory E. Webb
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1751

gew